## THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today (1) was not written for publication in a law journal and (2) is not binding precedent of the Board.

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte DAVID S. WEHRLE, CARL R. SCHUMAKER, GREGG M. SICHNER, and JOHN P. CASPERS

Application No. 09/546,089

REMAND TO EXAMINER

MAILED

SEP 2 7 2004

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Appellant filed a Petition To Accept Unintentionally Delayed Claim For Priority (Paper No. 19) on September 4, 2004. There is no indication on the record that the petition was considered or acted on.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the examiner for consideration of appellant's Petition To Accept Unintentionally Delayed Claim For Priority (Paper No. 19) and for any further action as may be deemed appropriate.

Application No. 09/546,089

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

DALE M. SHAW

Program and Resource Administrator (703)308-9797

CF/dpv

Appeal No. 2004-1813 Application No. 09/546,089

John J. Horn Allen Bradley Company, Inc. Patent Dept. 704 P Floor 8 T 29 1201 South Second St. Milwaukee, WI 53204